

- Home
- Where to start
- Blog
- About
- Contact
- Menu

We are not all one, we are all infinite!

Posted on 26 August 2018 6 September 2018 by Wilfred

"We are all one" is one of the prevalent spiritual axioms out there. I don't know about you, but with me something doesn't sit right with this statement. In a way, "we are all one" sounds nice and comforting, because it underlines that we are in this together and that is a soothing thought to me: I'm not the only one in this. Whatever this might be where we're in.

Are we all one? What does that even mean? And does it matter? Let's dive in!

The sense and non-sense of "we are all one"

Let's start with pointing out that the difficulty of this statement is that multiple levels of abstraction, existential dimensions and our consensus reality are all mixed into one leveled statement. Language is used which is incapable to express multi-layered/dimensional meaning. Language and words are way to limited to describe extractions of meaning spanning across multiple levels/planes/dimensions of existence. Our current language is not suitable to convey meaning and understanding spanning multiple abstraction levels using similar words, having other implications used on one level of abstraction opposed to another. Mixing those into sentences gives rise to the occasion of confusion and miscommunication, albeit not always instantly apparent.

That being said, there *is* deep truth in this statement, when interpreted multi-dimensional and in the right depth. Otherwise there's a fallacy and limitation in this statement. When the words used in the statement are regarded from one level, the statement is not true, or better said not true enough.

"We are all one" points to the proposition that essentially we are one being, seemingly separated by our individual existence and experience. Seemingly separated, and considered an illusion because we are actually one being. And in the latter there's a big fallacy. It sounds nice and friendly, but isn't really. It's quite an misleading and seemingly harmless phrase. When not taking the full depth and truth of this multi-abstraction leveled phrase as starting point, misinterpretation will be the result. And this misinterpretation in turn will result in (indirectly) self-inflicted limitation. One of the limitations and fallacies is taking something larger than our being as external authority and to loose our unique individual/being into a hive mind - the one mind of the one being. This will result in our loss of individuality, which should be celebrated and fully embodied to ever larger extent. Losing, neglecting or devaluating our unique individual expressions is a form of spiritual suicide and not a goal to aim for. We are all one tends to diminish our individualities and the underlying current of that phrase is to become one with the one being.

We are all not one.

The meaning of we are all one?

The statement is semantically undefined and it is not logical: the phrase doesn't make sense semantically, when only taking the common abstraction level of consensus reality (and related language) as starting point. Even though it doesn't make sense, there are phrases in language (e.g. paradoxical phrases) which seem logically or semantically incorrect but are pointing to truths.

We and one does not equalize, is not the same. All and one does not equalize. We and not one equalizes, as well as I and one. "I am all one" is more like it. " am all there is. I am one. You are not that one, although you are one and all.

Even saying that there is one being might seem correct, but is misleading. From our viewpoint, in our consensus reality, we divide and count. From an undivided perspective, **counting does not exist**. There is no thing to separate from - wholeness and infinity are the not dividable. From our perspective we might say there is one being, *being infinite and boundless*. "We are all one being" is also not correct and a trap, as we conduct and limit high-level abstractions and deep understanding by use of words, which does not equalize. Words (being limited) and infinity don't go together.

Taking the verbal limitations for granted, there is so to say one being. Its characteristics are unnameable, undefinable, boundless and infinite. Coming back to counting, the phrase "we are all infinite" is correct. The phrase "we are all infinite being" is less correct and precise because "infinite being" narrows the potential to a being, being infinite.

I am infinite, and you are infinite. You are and I am. We are not the same infinity - that does not compute. Infinity can't be compared. Hence saying "we are the same infinite being", or the same infinity, is meaningless.

Narrowing it down

Back to our plane of existence, where we use words, we point to one being. Let's take that starting point. *I am one being. You are one being. You are all that is. I am all that is. You are all one. I am all one.* But it's **not the same one**. The you that is all-that-is, is not the all-that-is that is me, but the **same medium is used**. And here we stumble upon the **enigma and the crucial point** to reflect and contemplate: from my unique individual being and perspective, on the abstract quantum level all substance is interconnected: I am everywhere and all life is me, my conscious awareness is impacting everything around. Even when I view you (so from my unique individual), I will see that you are part of me. And vice versa, from your unique individual being, all material is interconnected, you are everywhere and all life is you. **But it is not the same, it does not equalize**.

Something crucial has to be taken into account: you are unique, I am unique, and the relation between you and the external world (you - "you are all one") and the relation between me and the external world (me - "I am all one") is unique. The **relation between the subject** (I, you) **and the object** (external world) **is unique**. Things observed from one subject are of different substance than the (seemingly) similar things observed from **another subject**. Things observed by you are all you. Things observed by me are all me. And yet those two can't be compared (i.e. the uniqueness of one subject-and-object-relation, and the uniqueness of another subject-and-object-relation), they are infinite. The same infinite is a statement which does not have any value, nor truth. Nor does it mathematically makes sense.

Unique sovereign being

Your being is different than mine. The relationship with the external world is (therefore) different than mine. The things which might make you enthusiastic, joyful, inspired are personal characteristics of your being. Your being is all-pervasive and prevalent in your world - which seems all-intrusive and pervading in my world from your perspective, but that is not the case as that is **another subject-object relation**.

This might **seem like a minor thing**, but it's not. It has **major implications**. Huge ones. It means that only you can change the world. You are not dependent on anyone else.

There are still other people out there (luckily!), but the relation is dynamic and not static. There's a **dynamic co-dependent relationship with other human beings**, not a static co-dependent relationship as that will make you dependent on others. And you are limitless and boundless in nature and dependency doesn't fit in there. The illusion is that other people determine and prescribe the world I am experiencing, but the truth is that only I (and you) determine the direction or course the world is heading and is shaped into. Note: this is not the *small I*, but the *bigger I* - to the extent I consciously identify with the personal infinite being.

Shared medium

We share the same medium in our shared reality, giving the opportunity for rash or premature conclusions that we are all the same being, that all is one. An **analogy** can be made with a **multiplayer online game** (and it's just an analogy, we don't

actually live in a simulation even though there are agenda's pushing this reality/narrative to merge into our current consensus reality): We share the same medium (electricity), resulting in the same substance (environment, game world) being manifested in which each individual is living. We share the medium of electricity but the electricity used for manifesting your world is different from the electricity used for manifesting my world. Even though it seems the same, the origin of the substance which is manifested in and as the world is unique, coming or arising from our unique beings. We are related and share the same medium and yet we are not one.

We are related and are the same infinite being, and yet we are unique and boundless in essence. In essence (and therefore potentially) unrestricted by other individualizations of the same being. You are another me, and yet you are completely sovereign and essentially not tied to me nor my actions. In essence we are the same undefinable and limitless being - and essence is quite some abstraction levels removed from our consensus understanding of reality and the commonly used language (so to speak - as there is essentially no time, space and therefore no distance). "We are all one" therefore has no meaning. We are all related and yet we are in essence infinite, unbounded and limitless.

All beings that I encounter in my life are unique beings, separated from my individuality and yet in essence (*deep down*) all - each and everybody - is the same uncountable (not "one") inexpressible undefinable limitless intelligence.

The next time the phrase "we are all one" comes into your awareness, try to create the grandest representation of it in mind the most unlimited, unbounded representation being unlimited. There is **no** *other* **being than your essence.** There simply is essentially no-thing or no-one else. Counting is meaningless on the essential level, because counting is only meaningful when there is something to distinguish. There is no division in essence. No-thing else, no-one else. Not one, but limitless.

Even though we are all one sounds better and safer: we are all infinite!

Want to share this post? You can use the following buttons for sharing on your preferred medium:

Recent posts

High ratings and high hypocrisy

High ratings and high hypocrisy

4 May 2019

What is artificial intelligence really?

What is artificial intelligence really?

11 September 2018

• Feedback please!

Feedback please!

1 September 2018

• The battle for the prevailing narrative